## PROPOSED RULE MAKING



**CR-102 (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

## **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: March 31, 2020

TIME: 3:51 PM

WSR 20-08-121

Agency: Employment Security Department						
⊠ Original Notice						
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR						
□ Continuance of WSR						
☐ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or						
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or						
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW						
		g information: (describe subject)				
Amending WAC 192-6	30-015 Hov	v will a determination be made abo	ut an employee's eligibility for benefits?			
Hearing location(s):						
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:			
May 6, 2020	9:00 a.m.	Conference call: (360) 407-3780 // PIN: 507997#	Hearing is being held remotely due to COVID-19.			
Date of intended ado	ption: On o	r after May 13, 2020 (Note: This is	NOT the effective date)			
Submit written comments to:						
Name: April Amundson						
Address: Employment Security Department PO Box 9046 Olympia, WA 98507-9046						
Email: rules@esd.wa.gov						
Fax:						
Other: Online portal: https://www.opentownhall.com/portals/289/forum_home?phase=open						
By (date) May 6, 2020						
Assistance for perso						
Contact Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer						
Phone: <u>360-480-5708</u> Fax:						
TTY: 711						
Email: TEckstein@esd.wa.gov						
Other:						
By (date) <u>April 29, 2020</u>						
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: This rule amendment will						
provide guidance to interested parties regarding the notification they will receive when the department makes a determination						
on an employee's initial application for benefits.						

Reasons suppor The rule amendm		byee privacy is protected by limiting the information provid	led to interested parties
	mination on approval or d		
Statutory author RCW 50A.05.060			
Statute being im	plemented: RCW 50A.18	5.020, 50A.15.050, and 50A.25.040	
Is rule necessary	y because of a:		
Federal La	□ Yes  ⋈ No		
Federal Co	□ Yes  ⋈ No		
State Cour If yes, CITATION	□ Yes ⊠ No		
		s, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, ent	forcement, and fiscal
Name of propon Medical Leave Di		on) Employment Security Department, Paid Family and	<ul><li>□ Private</li><li>□ Public</li><li>⊠ Governmental</li></ul>
Name of agency	personnel responsible	for:	
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	April Amundson	Lacey, WA	360-485-2816
Implementation:	April Amundson	Lacey, WA	360-485-2816
Enforcement:	April Amundson	Lacey, WA	360-485-2816
Is a school distr If yes, insert state		ent required under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes ⊠ No
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	s:	nool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
☐ Yes: A pro Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	s:	lysis may be obtained by contacting:	
outlined in RC	W 34.05.328(5)(a)(i), this	oloyment Security Department is required to follow rulema amended rule does not qualify as a significant legislative ural rule as defined in RCW 34.05.328(5)(c)(i) that adopts	rule under RCW

receive to a notification that employee eligibility has either been approved or denied. Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement: This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s): ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. Citation and description: ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule. ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum. ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply: RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) (Internal government operations) (Dictated by statute) П RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) (Incorporation by reference) (Set or adjust fees) RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process (Correct or clarify language) requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW. Explanation of exemptions, if necessary: COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES If the proposed rule is not exempt, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses? Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. The amended rule does not No impose any additional requirements, reporting or otherwise, on businesses. The revisions are procedural and interpretive and limit the information interested parties receive to a notification that employee eligibility has either been approved or denied. Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Signature: Date: March 31, 2020 Sen Name: April Amundson Title: Policy and Rules Manager

the consistent internal operations of the agency. The rule is also an interpretive rule as defined in RCW 34.05.328(5)(c)(ii) and sets forth the agency's interpretation of administering Title 50A RCW. The rule limits the information interested parties