CODE REVISER USE ONLY

STATE OF STA

By (date) May 23, 2018

Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: April 04, 2018

TIME: 9:02 AM

WSR 18-08-083

Agency: Employment	Security Dep	partment	
□ Original Notice			
☐ Supplemental Noti	ce to WSR		
☐ Continuance of WS	SR		
	ment of Inqu	uiry was filed as WSR <u>17-23-13</u>	<u>1</u> ; or
☐ Expedited Rule Ma	kingPropo	sed notice was filed as WSR _	; or
☐ Proposal is exemp	t under RC\	N 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1);	or
☐ Proposal is exemp	t under RC\	N	
WAC 192-510 Assessi	ng and colled on Election of E	n, withdrawal, and cancellation of of coverage for federally recogn I the department determine the version of the department assess the size affect liability of the department assess the size re employer premium payments "localization" and how does it after the requirements to be eligible agreements	ized tribes. rages earned and hours worked for self-employed ity for premiums and eligibility for small business of new employers? due? ect conditional waivers? for a conditional premium waiver?
		to collective bargaining agreeme	nts
WAC 192-530-WAC 192-530-WAC 192-530-WAC 192-530-	-010 What ai -020 Volunta -030 Volunta -040 Volunta -050 Avoidin	re the employer application requiry plans – Employer plan require ry plans – Employee eligibility cr ry plans – Notice requirements ug a duplication of benefits under appens at the end of a voluntary	ments. teria. nder RCW 50A.04.075. state and voluntary plans.
Hearing location(s):			
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
May 23, 2018	9:00am	Lacey WA 98503	Meeting will be the in Park Place conference room.
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18 (Note: This is NOT the effect	ve date)
Submit written comm	ents to:		
Name: Christina Streul	i		
	•	partment PO Box 9046, Olympi	a WA 98507-9046
Email: cstreuli@esd.wa	a.gov		

Other: Online portal: https://www.peakdemocracy.com/portals/289/forum_home?phase=open

Phone: (360) 902- Fax:	-9354		
гах. TTY: 711			
Email: TEckstein@	@esd.wa.gov		
Other:	-		
By (date) May 21,			
define Paid Family	y and Medical Leave req	ated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Tuirements for premium assessment and collection, election isinesses with existing collective bargaining agreements.	
		s will assist in meeting the requirements to implement the con employers by January 1, 2019, as mandated by Title 50	
Statutory author	ity for adoption: RCW 5	OA.04.215	
		04.010, 50A.04.105, 50A.04.110, 50A.04.115, 50A.04.120, .04.610, 50A.04.615, 50A.04.620, 50A.04.650, and 50A.04	
Is rule necessary			
Federal Lav			☐ Yes ☒ No
Federal Court Decision?			☐ Yes ⊠ No
State Court			☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, CITATION:		s, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enfo	reement and fiscal
matters:			
Name of propone Medical Leave Div		ion) Employment Security Department, Paid Family and	□ Private□ Public☑ Governmental
Name of agency	personnel responsible	for:	
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Christina Streuli	Lacey, WA	360-791-6710
Implementation:	Matt Buelow	Lacey, WA	360-742-7311
Enforcement:	Matt Buelow	Lacey, WA	360-742-7311
Is a school distri If yes, insert state		ent required under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes ⊠ No
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:		nool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
Other:			

	enefit analysis required under RCW 3			
	☑ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:			
	ame: Christina Streuli		0. Ohmania - WA 00507-0040	
	ddress: Employment Security Departme hone: 360-791-6710	ent PO Box 904	6 Olympia, WA 98507-9046	
	ax:			
	TY: Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer, (360) 902-9354.	711. TEckstein@esd.wa.gov	
	mail: cstreuli@esd.wa.gov	.,	,	
	ther:			
☐ No:	Please explain:			
Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations fo	r a Small Busi	ness Economic Impact Statement:	
	oposal, or portions of the proposal, may 85 RCW). Please check the box for any		m requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see nption(s):	
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is	exempt under	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being	
•	, ,		ulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or	
	nis rule is being adopted to conform or c	omply with, and	describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not	
adopted. Citation and	d description:			
	•	exempt becau	se the agency has completed the pilot rule process	
defined by F	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of	of this proposed	rule.	
		s exempt under	the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was	
	a referendum.	_		
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is	s exempt under	RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:	
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)	
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)	
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)	
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)	
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)	
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process	
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)	
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is	exempt under	RCW 34.05.328(5)(c)(ii) and 34.05.328(5)(c)(iii)(C).	
			reates an exemption for interpretive rules. This exemption	
	ortions of the proposal. RCW 34.05.328 ortions of the proposal do not meet these		lines conditions which must be met for a rule to require	
alialysis. FC			E NO EVENDTION ADDITIES	
16 (1			F NO EXEMPTION APPLIES	
if the propo	sed rule is not exempt , does it impose r	more-tnan-mino	r costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?	
□ No	Briefly summarize the agency's analys	is showing how	costs were calculated	
⊠ Yes	Calculations show the rule proposal lik	elv imnoses mo	ore-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business	
economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:				
Small Business Economic Impact Statement Rules implementing Title 50A RCW – The Family and Medical				
April 4, 2	018	Leave Progra	ım	
		Chapter 102	E10 E20 E20 WAC	

1. Provide a brief description of the requirements of the proposed rules

In 2017, the Washington State Legislature passed Substitute Senate Bill 5975 relating to paid family and medical leave. Substitute Senate Bill 5975 was codified as Title 50A RCW.

Title 50A RCW creates a statewide paid family and medical leave insurance program that provides for at least partial wage replacement when a qualified employee takes leave for an approved reason related to family or medical leave.

The legislature requires the state to develop rules implementing the program.

These rules are being developed by the Employment Security Department and will be filed in multiple phases. This filing comprises rules developed in phase one, which covers regulations related to voluntary plans, collective bargaining agreements, and premium assessment.

The rules in phase one provide requirements to which employers with an approved voluntary plan must adhere in order to maintain the integrity of the overall program. The rules contain regulations around communication of necessary information between the state and voluntary plan employers, clearer direction and clarification around certain aspects of the law pertaining to voluntary plans, benefit duplication prevention, and voluntary plan termination determinations.

The rules also lay out eligibility requirements around collective bargaining agreements in effect prior to October 19, 2017, and the responsibilities of employers with respect to certain collective bargaining units who might be exempt under the law.

There is also clarification around premium assessment, with emphasis on when and how an employer is assessed.

2. Costs to businesses to comply with the proposed rules

The majority of phase one rules do not require a calculation of cost for compliance. The following rules do require this analysis:

WAC 192-530-010: What are the employer application requirements for voluntary plans?²

Total Estimated Cost to Employee Benefits	\$11,598,460.66
Total Estimated Opportunity Costs	\$1,412,650.93
Total Estimated Cost	\$13,011,111.59

WAC 192-530-030: Voluntary plans – employee eligibility criteria³

	5 /
Total Estimated Cost to Verification	\$730,067.71
Total Estimated Cost to Reporting	\$1,588,573.96
Total Estimated Cost	\$2,318,641.67

¹ See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for a complete list of rules that do and do not require cost analysis in the Small Business Economic Impact Study or the Significance Analysis

² See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for full details on the costs imposed on businesses for complying with WAC 192-530-010

³ See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for full details on the costs imposed on businesses for complying with WAC 192-530-030

3. Impact on sales or revenue

Any impact on sales or revenue is assumed to be a result of the passage of SSB 5975 by the state legislature rather than the result of agency rulemaking pertaining to its implementation.

4. Cost of compliance for small businesses vs. ten percent of largest businesses

WAC 192-530-010: What are the employer application requirements for voluntary plans? Analysis has revealed no disproportionate impact on small businesses for the establishment of a quarterly schedule for voluntary plan effective dates.⁴

WAC 192-530-030: Voluntary plans – employee eligibility criteria

Analysis has revealed that there may be a disproportionate impact on small businesses for the establishment of a requirement to notify the department of an employee's eligibility for a voluntary plan who was previously covered by the state plan.

Analysis has revealed that there may be a disproportionate impact on small businesses for the establishment of a requirement requiring small business owners and/or staff to obtain employee data to ensure compliance with the law for a voluntary plan.

5. Steps taken to reduce costs on small businesses

RCW 19.85.030(2) lists several options for agencies to consider when attempting to reduce the impact of proposed rules on small businesses. These options were considered by agency staff and ultimately deemed unfeasible based on the nature of the rules in question.

Since all elements of WAC 192-530-010 and WAC 192-530-030 are dependent on the employer or self-employed individual opting in to a non-required component of the law, no specific steps were taken to reduce costs on small businesses. These rules have been deemed necessary by the department to ensure compliance with statutory requirements.

However, the statute, itself does allow opportunities for businesses that employ 150 or fewer employees to receive grants from the state to assist with monetary losses attributable to certain personnel decisions made when an employee takes leave under Title 50A RCW.

6. How did the agency involve small businesses?

As part of its rulemaking process, the Employment Security Department created an Advisory Committee consisting of advocates for both employer and employee interests. The team of advocates for employer interests represents several businesses in the state, including small businesses. These advocates were integral in the development of both the law and the rules governing it.

In addition, several public meetings were held prior to filing the Notice of Proposed Rules. Two informal "listening sessions" were held where any member of the public, including small businesses owners and stakeholders, could voice their opinions on what should be covered by rule in each phase. The department also hosted two "pre-102 meetings" where representatives of the department presented drafts of rules and opened the floor for public comment.

⁴ See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for full details on the costs imposed on businesses for complying with WAC 192-530-010 Page 5 of 7

All stakeholders, including small business representatives, were also allowed to post comments in response to agency draft rules online through a civic engagement portal.

7. List of industries affected

Title 50A RCW generally applies to all employers in Washington State, apart from the federal government.

2-digit NAICS	Industry sectors	Total Establishments	Total Employment
Total	Total	243,084	3,225,703
11	Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	7,415	89,295
21	Mining	172	2,350
22	Utilities	591	18,747
23	Construction	25,033	180,526
31, 32, 33	Manufacturing	7,698	293,807
42	Wholesale trade	14,199	130,050
44, 45	Retail trade	20,550	368,567
48, 49	Transportation and warehousing	5,633	124,044
51	Information	4,441	128,611
52	Finance and insurance	8,885	93,768
53	Real estate and rental and leasing	8,344	51,941
54	Professional and technical services	25,655	199,303
55	Management of companies and enterprises	716	43,711
56	Administrative and waste services	12,502	161,480
61	Educational services	3,843	283,651
62	Healthcare and social assistance	55,341	460,623
71	Arts, entertainment and recreation	3,104	70,512
72	Accommodation and food services	17,094	267,382
81	Other services, except public administration	19,739	96,565
92	Public administration	2,129	160,770

Source: Employment Security Department

8. Number of jobs lost/created

Any jobs lost or created are assumed to be a result of the passage of SSB 5975 by the state legislature rather than the result of agency rulemaking pertaining to its implementation.

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name: Christina Streuli

Address: Employment Security Department PO Box 9046, Olympia WA 98507-9046

Phone: 360-791-6710

Fax:

TTY: Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer, (360) 902-9354, 711, TEckstein@esd.wa.gov

Email: cstreuli@esd.wa.gov

Other:

	Signature:
Date: April 4, 2018	\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc
Name: Dale Peinecke	/ , Y ' /) / Y)
Title: Commissioner	Michael